## report

meeting	NOTTINGHAMSHIRE AND CITY OF NOTTINGHAM FIRE & RESCUE AUTHORITY

date 24 February 2006 agenda item number

## JOINT REPORT OF THE CHIEF FIRE OFFICER AND TREASURER

#### **LEVEL OF BALANCES**

#### 1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek the approval of Members to the level of balances sufficient to meet the needs of the Fire & Rescue Authority during the 2006/07 financial year and beyond.

#### 2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Members will be aware that the Authority holds a level of working and other balances to meet specific risks and liabilities arising from operations and other activity.
- 2.2 It is always difficult to estimate the level at which balances should be maintained, however the Audit Commission advise that a figure of 5% of annual revenue budgets would be sufficient. On current budgets this would suggest a figure of £2m.
- 2.3 Rather than accept this as the appropriate figure, a risk based approach has been taken to determine a reasonable level of balances. Based on this approach, the level of balances was judged to be £1.75m, as reported to Members in December 2003. It was recognised that achieving this level immediately would require an unacceptable council tax increase, so a phased transition towards the final figure was proposed.
- 2.4 The risk assessment was reviewed and reported to Members in January 2005. The result of this review was a recommended level of balances of £1.8m.

## 3 RISK BASED APPROACH

- 3.1 The risk assessment carried out in 2004/05 has been reviewed and is attached at Appendix A to enable Members to see clearly the risks that the Authority is exposed to and give some confidence in the levels of budget cover available.
- 3.2 This approach examines each of the risk exposures and considers both the impact on the Authority and the likelihood of occurrence. A risk score has been allocated to each risk which is then ranked from 1 (the lowest factor) to 5 (the highest). This is done for both likelihood and impact in order to give an overall risk factor. It should be noted that the underlying assumption is that not all these risks will occur simultaneously.

- 3.3 The approach also considers the extent to which these financial risks can be transferred to the private sector by way of insurances thus creating a balance of both insured and self financed risk.
- 3.4 Residual risk is the extent to which the Authority remains exposed to risks which are neither insured nor provided for within balances. The level of acceptable residual risk is usually referred to as the "Risk Appetite" of the Authority. It is considered that the Authority would be best advised to adopt a fairly low risk appetite at present.
- 3.5 The full results of the risk evaluation review exercise show that there has been an increase in the overall risk value. There are changes in pension legislation, which transfer the risk of inadequate pension provision to the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister with effect from 1 April 2006. However, the liability for III Health retirements remains with the Authority, and additional balances need to be held to counteract the risk that the number of ill health retirements provided for within the Revenue Budget may be underestimated. There have been other, less significant amendments and increases in values to reflect rising costs. The overall result is that the recommended level of balances should be £1.97m.
- 3.6 The actual level of balances as at 31 March 2005 was £1.3m and is expected to rise to £1.7m at the end of 2005/06. However, some balances will be transferred to revenue in 2006/07 to fund one-off expenditure and cushion the effect of the unexpected reduction in Revenue Support Grant next year. The Authority plans to increase the level of balances in 2007/08 and 2008/09 and achieve the level of £2.0m by 31 March 2009. A review of the risk assessment will be carried out in the intervening years and Members will be requested to approve any adjustments to the target level of balances, if these occur as a result of the reviews. The pattern of contributions to and from balances over the period will be as shown in the following table.

Date	Narrative	Add £'s	Subtract £'s	Balance £'s
31/03/2005	Balance	0	0	1.3m
2005/2006	Contribution	0.3m	0	1.6m
2005/2006	Underspend	0.2m	0	1.8m
2006/2007	Transactions	0.1m	0.5m	1.4m
2007/2008	Contribution	0.3m	0	1.7m
2008/2009	Contribution	0.3m	0	2.0m

## 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The financial implications are set out in full within the body of the report.

#### 5 PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS

There are no personnel implications arising from this report.

## 6 RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

The process of creating balances is a significant risk control measure for the Authority. Without sufficient balances upon which to call in times of difficulty the Authority would be regarded as financially unstable.

## 7 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

An initial impact assessment has revealed that there are no specific equality issues arising from this report.

## 8 RECOMMENDATIONS

That Members approve the proposed level of balances and take this into consideration as part of the 2006/07 budget process.

## 9 BACKGROUND PAPER FOR INSPECTION

None.

Paul Woods
CHIEF FIRE OFFICER

Alan Sumby

TREASURER TO FIRE & RESCUE AUTHORITY

Risk Category	Identified	Risk	Likelih	Impact	Control	Insurable	Risk	Risk Value £	Balances £	Revenue £
	Hazard		ood		Measure		Factor			
Legal	Sex, race or disability discrimination case	Reputational Damage. Potential Costs of up to £250,000	5	5	Policies, Training, Procedures, Monitoring, Equality	N	25 2 in 5yrs	100,000	100,000	0
	Environmental protection case	Reputational Damage. Potential Costs of up to £250,000	3	5	Officer Partnership with Environment Agency	N	15 1 in 5 yrs	50,000	50,000	0
	Negligent Fire Safety Work	Litigation	2	5	Training	Y	10 1 in 5yrs	2,000		2,000
	Asbestos Claims and Exposures	Litigation	4	3	Operating Procedures	Y	12 1 in 5yrs	2,000		2,000
	Personal Injury to Staff	Litigation	5	3	H&S interventions	Y	15 4 p.a	40,000		40,000
	Attacks on Staff	Absence, Litigation	4	3	SOPs, working practices	Y	12 1 in 5yrs	2,000		2,000
	Stress Claims	Possible ET	4	4	Support Systems	Y	16 1 in 2yrs	5,000		5,000
	Re-ignition or other negligence	Reputational	3	5	Operating Procedures	Y	15 1 in 5yrs	2,000		2,000
Pay Budgets	Hot Summers	Increased Retained Call Outs	2	5	None	N	10 over 5 yrs	100,000	100,000	0

Risk Category	Identified	Risk	Likelih	Impact	Control	Insurable	Risk	Risk Value £	Balances £	Revenue £
	Hazard		ood		Measure		Factor			
	Higher than	Large hit on	4	5	Maintain	N	20	400,000	400,000	0
	expected pay	pay			adequate		over time			
	awards	contingency			general		2% error			
					contingency					
	Flooding Risk	Increased	2	5	None	N	10	100,000	100,000	0
		Retained Call					over 5yrs			
		outs								
	III Health	Underestimate	3	5	Base estimate	N	15	300,000	300,000	0
	Retirements	number			on experience					
Property	Discovery of	Unexpected	3	3	Structural	N	9	100,000	100,000	0
, ,	major	costs, loss of			survey AMP		over 5 yrs	,	,	
	structural	use					, , ,			
	problem									
	Fire or other	Loss of use	2	2	Precautions	Υ	4	0	0	0
	peril									
	Theft of Assets	Disruption	5	3	Security	Υ	15	2,500	0	2,500
		·			procedures		10 incidents	·		·
	Own Damage	Disruption	5	2	Risk	Υ	10	0	0	0
		·			Management		1 incident			
Transport	Accidental	Loss of use	5	5	Training,	Υ	25	30,000		30,000
	Damage				Procedures		30 incidents			
	Serious Injury	Reputation,	4	5	Training and	Υ	20	5,000	5,000	0
	to Public	Cost, Staff			Procedures		1 in 5yrs	,	,	
		Time								
	Serious Injury	Cost, Staff	4	5	Training and	Υ	20	5,000	5,000	0
	to Staff	time			Procedures		1 in 5yrs			

Risk Category	ldentified Hazard	Risk	Likelih ood	Impact	Control Measure	Insurable	Risk Factor	Risk Value £	Balances £	Revenue £
	Major Vehicle Defect (fleet wide)	Loss of use, increased costs	2	5	None	N	10 1 in 5 yrs	20,000	20,000	0
	Increase in fuel prices	Increased Costs	4	3	None	N	12 general	10,000	10,000	0
	Legislative Change	Vehicle Modifications required	1	4	Currency of staff	N	4 1 in 10yrs	4,000	4,000	0
Equipment & PPE	Change in legislation	May require wholesale change in equipment	1	5	Usually not without notice	N	5 1 in 5yrs	10,000	10,000	0
	Major Defect	Increased Costs	2	5	Inspection routines	N	10 1 in 5yrs	10,000	10,000	0
Financial	Major Fraud	Cost	1	2	Internal Control	Y	2	0	0	0
	Significant Increase in interest rates	Increased Costs	4	3	None	N	12 1 in 10yrs	5,000	5,000	
	Failure of Major Borrower	Significant Cash Loss	2	5	Borrower Selection	N	10 1 in 10yrs	100,000	100,000	
	Failure of Financial Systems	Threat to Service	2	4	Contingency Plans	N	8	0	0	0

Risk Category	Identified	Risk	Likelih	Impact	Control	Insurable	Risk	Risk Value £	Balances £	Revenue £
	Hazard		ood		Measure		Factor			
Technological	Major WAN	Loss of Use,	3	5	Contingency	N	15	0	0	0
	Failure	potential life			plans,					
	affecting	risk			alternate					
	mobilisation				methods					
	Admin WAN	Loss of use	3	3	Maintenance	N	9	5,000		5,000
	Failure				and Support		1 in 5 yrs			
	Sabotage	Loss of use	2	5	Security	N	10	0	0	0
					measures					
	Theft of	Loss of	5	5	Security	Y	25	5,000	5,000	0
	Equipment	use/data			measures		1 in 5yrs			
	Breach of	Loss of	2	5	Security	N	10	5,000	5,000	0
	Security	confidential			Measures		1 in 5yrs			
		data								
	Radio System	Loss of	4	5	Alternate	N	20	1,000	1,000	0
	failure	Comms			procedures,		1 in 10yrs			
					phones etc.					
Physical	Major CBRN	Drain on	2	5	Policies,	N	10	10,000	10,000	0
	Incident	regional			agreements,		1 in 10 yrs			
		resources,			national					
		inability to			guidelines etc.					
		respond								
	Terrorist attack	Major loss of	2	5	Contingency	Y	10	0	0	0
	on Emergency	function			plans		1 in 10 yrs			
	Services									
	Natural	Drain on	1	5	2.12	N	5	15,000	15,000	0
	Disaster	resources			arrangements		1 in 10 yrs			

Risk Category	ldentified Hazard	Risk	Likelih	Impact	Control Measure	Insurable	Risk Factor	Risk Value £	Balances £	Revenue £
	Multiple large	Drain on	<b>ood</b> 3	5	2.12	N	<b>1</b> 5	15,000	15,000	0
	incidents		3	5		IN	15	15,000	15,000	U
	incidents	resources			arrangements					
		inability to								
		respond								
Other	Loss of	Reduced	3	3	Budget	N	9	25,000	25,000	0
	Trading	income for			monitoring and		1 in 10 yrs			
	income	service			forecasting					
	Loss of	Risk to service	4	2	Careful	N	8	25,000	25,000	0
	sponsorship	and reputation			assessment of					
	funding				projects					
	Loss of one or	Disruption,	2	4	Documentation	N	8	40,000	40,000	0
	more key	temporary			of key		1 in 5yrs			
	personnel	cover,			procedures					
					and systems					
	Major personal	Disruption	3	3	H&S	Υ	9	0	0	0
	litigation	·			Procedures					
					etc.					
	Change in	Increased	4	4	None.	N	16	10,000	10,000	0
	legislation	costs			Awareness		1 in 5yrs	,	ŕ	
	Industrial	Potential loss	5	5	Maintain	N	25	500,000	500,000	0
	dispute. Local/	of service			adequate		1 in 5yrs	,	, , ,	
	National				contingency		- 7			
									1,970,000	